FY25 Grants for Organizations Scoring Rubric Used to score "On Year" applications due November 15, 2023					
Total possible points = 100					
Sectio	n A: Questions A1 - A3 and the	chart below address why the v	vork of your organization is imp	oortant.	
	A1. What are the n	nission, vision, goals and/or values of	the organization?		
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:		
Clear, specific, and thorough evidence of vision, mission, goals and/or values. (4 points)	Clear evidence of vision, mission, goals and/or values. (3 points)	Somewhat clear evidence of vision, mission, goals and/or values. (2 points)	Limited evidence of vision, mission, goals and/or values. (1 points)	No evidence (0 points)	
	goals, and/or values of the organizatio				
	ant changes in leadership, staff, progra				
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:		
Clear, specific, and thorough explanation of growth/evolution over the past 2 years	Clear explanation of growth/evolution over the past 2 years	Somewhat clear explanation of growth/evolution over the past 2 years	Limited explanation of growth/evolution over the past 2 years	No evidence	
(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points) graphic Area of Service (not scored - inforr	(1 points)	(0 points)	
(Not Scored:Tuition-paying includes	public t programming with a fee for service model, su	to attend that may be free and/or paid opport tich as classes, camps, workshops, etc. that in Activities Chart		of people, and not open to the public)	
	nts in A.1, A. 2, and A. 3 are demonstrated in vities may be categorized by program type (i. organization, plea	your day-to-day activities. The purpose of the	ponding occurrences, if multiple rows contain		
	How does each activity of Describe the public value (importance a How is each arts activity design	ns within the chart to be addressed for each connect to the vision, mission, goals, and val and relevance) of the activity for the constitue ed to reach yet to be known constituents in t tivity reach beyond the tuition-paying constit	lues of the organization? ents in the Geographic Area of Service? he Geographic Area of Service?		
11	and adding to talken babed, new does the d	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		\"	
Excellent to outstanding responses: 1) Clearly aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Specifically demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Clearly	Good to Very Good responses: 1) Aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3)	Satisfactory responses: 1) Somewhat aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Somewhat demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Somewhat	Marginal to Fair responses: 1) Limited alignment with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Limited demonstration of meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Limited		
Excellent to outstanding responses: 1) Clearly aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Specifically demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic	Good to Very Good responses: 1) Aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Demonstrates meaningful public value, and	Satisfactory responses: 1) Somewhat aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Somewhat demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Somewhat demonstrates plans to reach yet to be known	Marginal to Fair responses: 1) Limited alignment with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Limited demonstration of meaningful public value, and authentic	No evidence	
Excellent to outstanding responses: 1) Clearly aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Specifically demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Clearly demonstrates plans to reach yet to be known	Good to Very Good responses: 1) Aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Demonstrates plans to reach yet to be known	Satisfactory responses: 1) Somewhat aligns with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Somewhat demonstrates meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Somewhat	Marginal to Fair responses: 1) Limited alignment with vision, mission, goals/values; 2) Limited demonstration of meaningful public value, and authentic constituent collaboration and 3) Limited demonstration of plans to reach yet to be		

B1. Describe the creative process within your organization's programming. Include a description of how artistic decisions are made, who is involved from the organization, and how the constituents and communities (including those identified in question A3) are also involved in the programmatic development and evaluation of the organization's artistic activities.

(If your organization is in the "Service" discipline, please answer the following question: Describe the process for developing activities/programming/initiatives within your organization. Include a description of how decisions are made, who is involved from the organization, and how the constituents (including those identified in question A3) are also involved in the programmatic development and evaluation of the organization's activities.)

Excellent to outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:	
	Explanation illustrates the creative process, which includes creative roles, responsibilities, and authentic constituent collaboration, where constituents are essential in the artistic decision making process. (6 points)	Explanation somewhat illustrates the creative process, which includes creative roles, responsibilities, and authentic constituent collaboration, where constituents are essential in the artistic decision making process. (4 points)	Explanation limitedly illustrates the creative process, which includes creative roles, responsibilities, and authentic constituent collaboration, where constituents are essential in the artistic decision making process. (2 points)	No evidence (0 points)
B2. Explain the process for long-term c	r strategic planning. (If your organization	n does not have a long-term or strategic p	blan, how do you design, and plan program	ns and activities for the future, or an
teps towards a formalized process?) In y	your response, explain how the process	aligns with your vision, mission, goals/va	alues. Share where you are currently at in board, staff, volunteers,partners, public	a strategic/long term planning proce
Excellent to outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:	
Clear and specific explanation of long- term/strategic planning process that directly connects with vision, mission, goals/values. (8 points)	Clear explanation of long-term/strategic planning process that directly connects with vision, mission, goals/values. (6 points)	Somewhat clear explanation of long- term/strategic planning process that directly connects with vision, mission, goals/values. (4 points)	Limited explanation of long-term/strategic planning process that directly connects with vision, mission, goals/values. (2 points)	No evidence (0 points)
				,
	Section C: Questions	1-5 below address how your o	organization operates.	
	he application, attach a Graphic/Chart	t of the organization's Staffing/Board S	Structure (include board, staff, artists,	volunteers, etc within the chart).
Excellent to Outstanding graphic/chart includes:	Good to Very Good graphic/chart includes:	Satisfactory graphic/chart includes:	Marginal to Fair graphic/chart includes:	
Clear and specific visual explanation of the organization's staffing/board structure.	Clear visual explanation of the organization's staffing/board structure.	organization's staffing/board structure.	Limited visual explanation of the organization's staffing/board structure.	No evidence
(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 points)	(0 points)
C2. How is your staffing	and board structure (board, staff, arti	sts, volunteers, etc) currently success	ful? (Include specific indicators of su	ccess in your response)
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:	
lear and specific explanation of indicators of a successful staffing and board structure. (4 points)	Clear explanation of indicators of a successful staffing and board structure. (3 points)	Somewhat clear explanation of indicators of a successful staffing and board structure. (2 points)	Limited explanation of indicators of a successful staffing and board structure. (1 points)	No evidence (0 points)
			of your organization; and how are the c	() /
C3. What staning/board (board, sta		Consider sharing specific examples of		nallenges routinely identified an
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:	
Clear and specific commitment to regularly identifying and addressing staffing/board and/or financial challenges.	Clear commitment to regularly identifying and addressing staffing/board and/or financial challenges.	Somewhat clear commitment to regularly identifying and addressing staffing/board and/or financial challenges.	Limited commitment to regularly identifying and addressing staffing/board and/or financial challenges.	No evidence
(8 points)	(6 points)	(4 points)	(2 points)	(0 points)
4. Describe your organization's proc		ur response, address procedures for eloping and approving the annual bud	monitoring and approving the organiza Iget.	ation's finances, and the process
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:	
	Detailed procedure for developing, monitoring, and approving finances, along with the annual budget.	Procedure for developing, monitoring, and approving finances, along with the annual budget.	Limited rocedure for developing, monitoring, and approving finances, along with the annual budget.	No evidence
and an analysis	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 points)	(0 points)

Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:			
Organization staff and board clearly reflecting the constituency of the Geographic Area of Service; or are aware of how they may not fully	Organization staff and board reflect the constituency of the Geographic Area of Service; or may be aware of how they may not	Organization staff and board somewhat reflect the constituency of the Geographic Area of Service; or may be aware of how they may not	Organization staff and board do not fully reflect the constituency of the Geographic Area of Service; or limited awareness of how they may			
reflect the area, and have strategies in place to further diversity of their staff and board in	fully reflect the area, and have strategies in place to further diversity of their staff and board	fully reflect the area, and have some strategies in place to further diversity of their staff and	not fully reflect the area, and have limited strategies in place to further diversity of their	No evidence		
future. (8 points)	in future. (6 points)	board in future. (4 points)	staff and board in future. (2 points)			
(8 points)	(8 points)	(4 points)	(2 points)	(0 points)		
Secion D: Questions 1 - 3 below address how your organization evaluates what it does.						
D1. What is the sensory or emotional	D1. What is the sensory or emotional experience hoped to be achieved through your organization's arts programming? Consider including examples of programs and/or intentions related to how you'd want your audiences/community to feel when engaging with your organization.					
	discipline, please answer the following q examples of programs and/or intentions	5	es/community to feel when engaging with			
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:			
Programming process directly considers intended sensory and emotional impact.	Programming process considers intended sensory and emotional impact.	Programming process somewhat considers intended sensory and emotional impact.	Programming process has a limited consideration for intended sensory and emotional impact.	No evidence		
(8 points)	(6 points)	(4 points)	(2 points)	(0 points)		
D2. How do your arts activities consider non-dominant norms, values, narratives, standards, or aesthetics, including non-Eurocentric standards of excellence?						
	If your organization in i	n the "Service" discipline, please answer	the following question:			
How do your activities/programs/initiatives consider non-dominant norms, values, narratives, standards, or aesthetics, including non-Eurocentric standards of excellence?						
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:			
Programming process indicates regular consideration of non-dominant norms, values,	Programming process indicates consideration of non-dominant norms, values, narratives,	Programming process somewhat considers of non-dominant norms, values, narratives,	Programming process indicates limited consideration of non-dominant norms, values,	No evidence		
narratives, standards, and aesthetics.	standards, and aesthetics.	standards, and aesthetics.	narratives, standards, and aesthetics.			
(8 points)	(6 points)	(4 points)	(2 points)	(0 points)		
•	cample of the organization's greatest s		· · ·	nsider indicators of success.		
Excellent to Outstanding response:	Good to Very Good response:	Satisfactory response:	Marginal to Fair response:			
Evaluation clearly articulates why a specific program or event was determined to be	Evaluation articulates why a specific program	Evaluation somewhat articulates why a specific program or event was determined to be	Evaluation articulates a limited amount to why a specific program or event was determined to	No evidence		
successful.	or event was determined to be successful.	successful.	be successful.			
(8 points)	(6 points)	(4 points)	(2 points)	(0 points)		
		FINANCIALS				
In review of the Financial Statements attached to your application.						
Excellent to Outstanding:	Good to Very Good:	Satisfactory:	Marginal to Fair:			
Financial information indicates a strong	Financial information indicates adequate					
commitment to multiple, diverse income streams	commitment to multiple, diverse income streams	Financial information indicates multiple, diverse income streams	Financial information indicates a single income stream	No evidence		
(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 points)	(0 points)		
Excellent to Outstanding:						
The organization does not display any significant financial changes; Any significant	Good to Very Good:	Satisfactory:	Marginal to Fair:			
financial changes are supported with	Any significant financial changes are supported	The organization is operating with significant	The organization is experiencing a surplus or			
programmatic cause in alignment with the organization's mission and vision.	with programmatic cause in alignment with the organization's mission and vision.	financial changes that are recognized by not addressed.	deficit greater than 20% and there is minimal or no support for this circumstance.	No evidence		
(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 points)	(0 points)		

Excellent to Outstanding:				
If budget size indicates over \$600K in income, the organization is operating with a cash reserve of (at least) one year.	Coord to Vory Coord	Satisfactory	Maroinal to Fair:	
(4 points)	Good to Very Good:	Satisfactory:	Marginal to Fair:	
Note - If budget size indicates less than \$600K			If budget size indicates over \$600K in income,	
in income, the organization is not required to	the organization is operating with a cash	the organization is operating with a minimal	the organization is operating without any cash	No evidence
show cash reserves, and will receive full	reserve of (at least) 6 months.	cash reserve (less than 6 months).	reserves.	
points.	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 points)	(0 points)