



MSAC
Arts and Entertainment Districts
Survey Analysis

FY 2025

May 2026

Executive Summary

Founded more than five decades ago, the Maryland State Arts Council (MSAC) is tasked with ensuring that all Marylanders have access to the arts.¹ MSAC is housed within Maryland’s Department of Commerce and engages in a variety of activities to support the arts ecosystem within the state, including awarding grants to non-profit organizations for arts programming and projects, awarding grants to independent artists, and providing technical assistance and professional development to individuals and groups.

Managed by MSAC, the Arts and Entertainment District (A&E District) program strives to “develop, promote, and support diverse artistic and cultural centers in communities across Maryland that preserve a sense of place, provide unique local experiences, attract tourism, and spur economic revitalization and neighborhood pride.”²

MSAC requires A&E Districts to complete an annual survey which summarizes activity from the previous fiscal year. These surveys are then provided to Maryland Department of Commerce’s Office of Research, Policy, and Government Affairs (ORPGA) staff for tabulation and analysis. This report is the first of two that ORPGA provides to MSAC; the second document is an economic impact analysis which quantifies the effects of employment and visitor spending within the A&E Districts.

Some key takeaways from the FY25 (July 1, 2024 to June 30, 2025) survey analysis include:

- Maryland’s A&E Districts reported:
 - 178 new or completed capital projects
 - 106 new retailers
 - 95 new businesses
 - 64 new restaurants
 - 15 new organizations
- After factoring in various closures, districts reported net changes of:
 - +62 retailers
 - +70 businesses
 - +13 restaurants
 - +8 organizations
- The 27 reporting A&E districts held a combined 6,144 events and 310 festivals in FY 25. In total, these events and festivals attracted an estimated 2.2 million attendees.
- Maryland’s A&E districts are home to 767 assets/enterprises. These include 267 public art projects, 110 performance venues, and 129 galleries. Other enterprises include museums, arts organizations, arts education organizations, arts suppliers, and “other” enterprises.
- Ten of Maryland’s A&E Districts made artist housing available, providing a total of over 800 units.
- Seventeen of Maryland’s A&E Districts maintained an artist registry. Within those districts, 267 artists registered during FY 25. Across all of Maryland’s A&E Districts, there are an estimated 6,675 artists working within district boundaries.

¹ <https://msac.org/about>

² <https://www.msac.org/programs/arts-entertainment-districts>

- Fourteen businesses took advantage of Admission and Amusement Tax abatement and five businesses utilized property tax credits. Additional incentives available include façade improvement, loans, grants, other types of incentives, and non-financial incentives.
- In total, districts reported receiving nearly \$13.4 million in funding and in-kind support in FY 25.
 - The most common source of funding was from MSAC; 25 of 27 districts which responded to the survey reported receiving a total of \$1.1 million.
 - The largest source of funding (\$7.7 million) came from other state government sources.
 - There was a significant decrease in report federal government funding falling from \$25 million in FY 24 (with \$13.5 million being award to Havre de Grace through the American Rescue Plan Act) to \$510 thousand in FY 25.
 - Additional funding was provided through local councils or municipalities (\$3.4 million) and in-kind support (\$575 thousand).
- As part of their survey responses, A&E Districts reported some of their major challenges. These included:
 - Staffing – Leadership turnover; difficulty finding employees and volunteers.
 - Funding – Limited resources for programming and operating costs.
 - Real Estate – Lack of appropriate/affordable real estate; scarce parking.
 - Federal government disruption – decreased funding/ support, general federal government layoffs, and concerns around the operations of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).
- Types of technical assistance that districts feel would be beneficial include:
 - Regular/ annual meeting(s) of all the districts – Many districts responded positively to the meeting that occurred on 10/16/2025 and believe that similar meetings in the future would be very helpful.
 - Training Opportunities – Multiple districts requested training on marketing strategies, with a focus on how to increase engagement among potential volunteers and event attendees. Others requested assistance with technical training to better utilize existing support and incentives.
 - Central Database of Resources – Survey respondents suggest they would benefit from a database of available incentives, important forms, inventory of properties, templates for common initiatives, and other information pertinent to A&E Districts.
 - AI – Several districts mentioned potential use of AI tools to better understand demand in the Arts market/ community as well as to potentially achieve greater productivity of existing staff.

Introduction

The Maryland State Arts Council (MSAC) is a state agency tasked with ensuring that all Marylanders have access to the arts. Housed within the Maryland Department of Commerce (Commerce), the council itself is comprised of 17 Maryland residents appointed by the governor and state legislature and is supported by MSAC program staff.

MSAC engages in a variety of activities to support the arts ecosystem within the state. These include awarding grants to non-profit organizations for arts programming and projects, awarding grants to independent artists, and providing technical assistance and professional development to individuals and groups.

As part of their mission, MSAC runs the Arts & Entertainment District (A&E District) program. A&E Districts are designated areas that promote community involvement, tourism, and revitalization through tax-related incentives. Each district is required to submit an annual report to MSAC which describes their activity over the prior year. MSAC has shared these reports with Commerce's Office of Research, Policy, and Government Affairs (ORPGA) for tabulation and analysis. This document summarizes survey responses from the 27 A&E Districts that completed their fiscal year (FY) 2025 annual report (July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025)³. In a separate report, ORPGA uses survey responses to quantify the economic impact of employment within A&E Districts and visitor spending at events and festivals.

Please note that ORPGA did not validate the self-reported data provided by MSAC and the districts. In cases where there was clearly a mistake (for example, an event was listed with a date that was not in FY 25), ORPGA cleaned the data. However, there was no systematic process to confirm every data point contained in the district annual reports. As such, there may be slight variation in the contents of this report and the true activity in Maryland's A&E Districts in FY 25.

District Entities and Businesses

Districts provided information about new and closed entities within their borders during FY 25. Entities include capital projects, retailers, businesses, restaurants, and organizations. Please note that this is self-reported data from the districts, and there may be variation in how different districts categorized certain entities (for example, one district may have categorized a coffee shop/bookstore as a retailer, while another district may have categorized a coffee shop/bookstore as a restaurant).

Figure 1 lists the new entities in each of Maryland's A&E districts. In FY 25, districts reported 178 new capital projects, 106 new retailers, 95 new businesses, 64 new restaurants, and 15 new organizations. Silver Spring reported the largest number of new capital projects; Frederick reported the largest number of new retailers and new businesses; Bethesda reported the largest number of new restaurants; Bromo Tower reported the largest number of new organizations.

³ The Annapolis A&E District did not submit a FY 25 Annual Report. Consequently, it is not covered in the Survey Analysis.

Figure 1: New Entities in Maryland's A&E Districts in FY25

District	Capital Projects		Retailers		Businesses		Restaurants		Organizations	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annapolis	2	1%	6	6%	0	0	3	5%	0	0
Bel Air	0	0%	5	5%	4	4%	1	2%	0	0%
Berlin	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%
Bethesda	3	2%	11	10%	11	12%	12	19%	2	13%
Black Arts	10	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Bromo Tower	10	6%	1	1%	1	1%	3	5%	3	20%
Cambridge	5	3%	2	2%	1	1%	1	2%	0	0%
Catonsville	6	3%	5	5%	8	8%	0	0%	1	7%
Chestertown	4	2%	0	0%	1	1%	1	2%	0	0%
Crisfield	3	2%	1	1%	1	1%	3	5%	1	7%
Cumberland	10	6%	4	4%	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%
Denton Artsway	0	0%	1	1%	3	3%	2	3%	0	0%
Easton	0	0%	8	8%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%
Elkton	0	0%	7	7%	1	1%	2	3%	0	0%
Frederick	17	10%	19	18%	12	13%	4	6%	0	0%
Frostburg	6	3%	1	1%	2	2%	1	2%	1	7%
Grantsville	4	2%	2	2%	0	0%	1	2%	1	7%
Hagerstown	4	2%	2	2%	8	8%	3	5%	0	0%
Havre de Grace	8	4%	7	7%	1	1%	0	0%	1	7%
Highlandtown	13	7%	4	4%	4	4%	3	5%	1	7%
Leonardtwn	11	6%	4	4%	11	12%	2	3%	0	0%
Oakland	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Salisbury	10	6%	10	9%	11	12%	2	3%	2	13%
Silver Spring	19	11%	2	2%	3	3%	7	11%	0	0%
Snow Hill	8	4%	2	2%	4	4%	2	3%	0	0%
Station North	12	7%	3	3%	3	3%	1	2%	0	0%
Stevensville	4	2%	1	1%	0	0%	1	2%	1	7%
Wheaton	8	4%	3	3%	0	0%	6	9%	1	7%
Total	178	100%	106	100%	95	100%	64	100%	15	100%

Sources: A&E Districts, ORPGA

Figure 2 lists the closures (or relocations out of district boundaries) of retailers, businesses, restaurants, and organizations during FY 25.

Figure 2: Entity Closures/Relocations Out of District Boundaries by Type in Maryland's A&E Districts in FY25

District	Retailers		Businesses		Restaurants		Organizations	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annapolis	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Bel Air	4	9%	1	4%	1	2%	0	0%
Berlin	0	0%	2	8%	1	2%	0	0%
Bethesda	7	16%	5	20%	10	20%	0	0%
Black Arts	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Bromo Tower	2	5%	0	0%	2	4%	2	29%
Cambridge	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Catonsville	0	0%	1	4%	1	2%	0	0%
Chestertown	2	5%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Crisfield	0	0%	0	0%	5	10%	0	0%
Cumberland	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Denton Artsway	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Easton	2	5%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%
Elkton	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Frederick	13	30%	3	12%	7	14%	0	0%
Frostburg	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Grantsville	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Hagerstown	0	0%	2	8%	1	2%	1	14%
Havre de Grace	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%
Highlandtown	2	5%	5	20%	3	6%	2	29%
Leonardtown	1	2%	1	4%	1	2%	0	0%
Oakland	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%
Salisbury	5	11%	2	8%	1	2%	1	14%
Silver Spring	1	2%	1	4%	4	8%	0	0%
Snow Hill	1	2%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%
Station North	1	2%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%
Stevensville	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Wheaton	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	1	14%
Total	44	100%	25	100%	51	100%	7	100%

Sources: A&E Districts, ORPGA

Finally, Figure 3 lists the net change in entities in Maryland's A&E Districts. The associated estimated net change in employment is used in the A&E District economic impact analysis.

In FY 25, districts reported a net growth of 62 retailers, 70 businesses, 13 restaurants, and 8 organizations. Havre de Grace (+7) reported the largest net change in retailers; Leonardtown (+10) reported the largest net change in businesses; and Bethesda (+2) reported the largest net change in organizations.⁴

⁴ Multiple districts tied for largest net change in restaurants (+3) Annapolis, Berlin, Silver Spring.

Figure 3: Net Change in Entities in Maryland's A&E Districts in FY25

District	Retailers #	Businesses #	Restaurants #	Organizations #
Annapolis	5	0	3	0
Bel Air	1	3	0	0
Berlin	1	-2	3	0
Bethesda	4	6	2	2
Black Arts	0	0	0	0
Bromo Tower	-1	1	1	1
Cambridge	2	1	0	0
Catonsville	5	7	-1	1
Chestertown	-2	1	0	0
Crisfield	1	1	-2	1
Cumberland	2	5	0	0
Denton Artsway	1	3	2	0
Easton	6	-1	2	0
Elkton	7	1	1	0
Frederick	6	9	-3	0
Frostburg	1	2	0	1
Grantsville	1	0	0	1
Hagerstown	2	6	2	-1
Havre de Grace	7	0	0	1
Highlandtown	2	-1	0	-1
Leonardtawn	3	10	1	0
Oakland	0	0	-1	0
Salisbury	5	9	1	1
Silver Spring	1	2	3	0
Snow Hill	1	4	0	0
Station North	2	3	-1	0
Stevensville	1	0	1	1
Wheaton	3	0	2	0
Total	62	70	13	8

District Events and Festivals

Districts provided information regarding events and festivals that were held within their borders during FY 25. Responses to this prompt varied greatly between districts—some included a few large-scale events, while other districts provided a comprehensive list of all events/programming that occurred at sites such as public libraries within the district.

ORPGA collated the lists from each district and lightly cleaned the responses—for example, removing entries that did not occur in FY 25 or programming that was a virtual event. Note, however, ORPGA did not validate nor systematically clean the event or festival data. Attendance data from events and festivals will be used for the economic impact study of A&E Districts.

ORPGA used the average of supplied data (i.e., average event/festival attendance) in cases where A&E Districts did not provide information.

A&E Districts reported hosting over 6,000 events with attendance of nearly 1.2 million in FY 25. Bromo Tower reported the largest number of events, at 977. Two other districts, Catonsville and Station North, also reported hosting more than 800 events in FY 25. In terms of event attendance, Silver Spring reported the largest number of visitors to events, with 300,000. The next highest attendance levels were reported in Bel Air (260,750) and Frederick (231,642).

Figure 4: A&E District Events, FY 25

District	Number of Events	Share of Events	Attendance	Share of Attendance
Annapolis	27	0.4%	19,385	1.5%
Bel Air ⁵	243	10.2%	129,077	3.8%
Berlin	40	0.7%	61,677	4.9%
Bethesda	38	0.6%	17,100	1.4%
Bromo	977	15.9%	216,860	17.2%
Cambridge	122	2.0%	10,266	0.8%
Catonsville	867	14.1%	68,448	5.4%
Chestertown	552	9.0%	30,113	2.4%
Crisfield	81	1.3%	5,408	0.4%
Cumberland	20	0.3%	15,056	1.2%
Denton		0.0%		0.0%
Easton		0.0%		0.0%
Elkton	18	0.3%	8,945	0.7%
Frederick	248	4.0%	256,142	20.3%
Frostburg		0.0%		0.0%
Grantsville	26	0.4%	1,000	0.1%
Hagerstown	26	0.4%	16,996	1.3%
Havre de Grace	457	7.4%	50,177	4.0%
Highlandtown	662	10.8%	88,092	7.0%
Leonardtown	137	2.2%	37,960	3.0%
Oakland	30	0.5%	6,677	0.5%
Penn Ave (Black Arts)	14	0.2%	1,070	0.1%
Salisbury	18	0.3%	22,170	1.8%
Stevensville	24	0.4%	1,055	0.1%
Silver Spring		0.0%		0.0%
Snow Hill	26	0.4%	10,657	0.8%
Station North	898	14.6%	83,672	6.6%
Wheaton ⁶	593	9.7%	104,961	8.3%
Total	6,144	100.0%	1,262,964	100.0%

Sources: A&E Districts, ORPGA Calculations

⁵Decrease in total events and visitors from FY24 is partially attributable to a change in methodology which excluded events/attendance at the Bel Air Library (1,187 events, 144,876 attendees) as reported numbers included virtual attendance. Virtual attendees are generally not spending money with the A&E district which may have exaggerated spending estimates in past years. Should in-person and virtual attendance be distinguished in future reports, Bel Air Library events may be included in future economic impact reports.

⁶Events at the Wheaton Recreation Center were also excluded as they totaled approximately 900 events but did not include attendance figures. Those 900 events would have represented ~13% of total reported events, which would skew attendance estimates (~140,000 attendees). Using our previous methodology would likely overstate the spending estimates for Wheaton while driving down the estimated attendance across other districts, as the estimated attendance is based on the average attendance across all “event” classified activities. Should attendance figures be included in future reporting, Wheaton Recreation Center events may be included in future economic impact reports.

Figure 5 shows that Maryland’s A&E Districts reported 310 festivals being held within their borders in FY 25. At 44, Cumberland reported hosting the largest number of festivals. Other districts hosting significant numbers of festivals include Frostburg (25) and Havre de Grace (28). In terms of attendance levels, the top districts were Silver Spring (200,000), Station North (115,622), and Havre de Grace (86,121).

Figure 5: A&E District Festivals, FY 25

District	Number of Festivals	Share of Festivals	Attendance	Share of Attendance
Annapolis	17	5.5%	23,535	2.5%
Bel Air	4	1.3%	35,800	3.8%
Berlin	1	0.3%	5,000	0.5%
Bethesda	3	1.0%	65,000	6.9%
Bromo	1	0.3%	630	0.1%
Cambridge	18	5.8%	26,150	2.8%
Catonsville	17	5.5%	24,680	2.6%
Chestertown	6	1.9%	19,450	2.1%
Crisfield	13	4.2%	17,820	1.9%
Cumberland	44	14.2%	25,160	2.7%
Denton	7	2.3%	15,690	1.7%
Easton	15	4.8%	67,900	7.2%
Elkton	15	4.8%	10,765	1.1%
Frederick	12	3.9%	31,380	3.3%
Frostburg	25	8.1%	29,765	3.2%
Grantsville	7	2.3%	12,700	1.4%
Hagerstown	11	3.5%	8,350	0.9%
Havre de Grace	28	9.0%	86,121	9.2%
Highlandtown	3	1.0%	23,750	2.5%
Leonardtwn	10	3.2%	27,950	3.0%
Oakland	12	3.9%	21,600	2.3%
Black Arts	2	0.6%	1,700	0.2%
Salisbury	4	1.3%	18,000	1.9%
Stevensville	4	1.3%	2,700	0.3%
Silver Spring	16	5.2%	200,000	21.3%
Snow Hill	1	0.3%	6,000	0.6%
Station North	8	2.6%	115,622	12.3%
Wheaton	6	1.9%	15,690	1.7%
Total	310	100.0%	938,908	100.0%

Sources: A&E Districts, ORPGA Calculations

District Enterprises

Figure 6 describes the numbers and types of arts-related enterprises found in each district. Survey respondents were asked to categorize enterprises as:

- galleries/co-ops;
- performance venues;
- museums;
- arts organizations;
- arts education organizations;
- public art;
- arts suppliers/services;
- or other (Note that ‘other’ contains a wide variety of establishments such as foodways, historic sites, and breweries.)

Districts reported a total of 767 arts enterprises within their districts in FY 25.

Public art was the most common type of enterprise, with 267 works throughout all districts. At 129, galleries and co-ops were the second most frequently reported enterprise. Performance venues were the third most common enterprise, with 110 being reported.

Among districts, Silver Spring reported the largest number of enterprises, at 152. Other top districts include Wheaton (71 total enterprises) and Catonsville (69 total enterprises).

Figure 6: Arts Enterprises by Type and District, FY 25

District	Performance			Arts organizations	Arts education organizations	Public Art	Arts		Total
	Galleries/Co-ops	venues	Museums				suppliers/services	Other	
Annapolis	4	14	3	5	0	0	1	5	32
Bel Air	1	5	2	1	2	0	0	0	11
Berlin	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	9	12
Bethesda	12	5	0	6	4	0	1	3	31
Black Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bromo Tower	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cambridge	3	4	2	2	1	10	2	2	26
Catonsville	5	7	0	5	10	27	15	0	69
Chestertown	5	3	0	2	3	9	2	0	24
Crisfield	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	6
Cumberland	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	15
Denton Artsway	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Easton	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	14
Elkton	3	4	1	1	3	13	0	0	25
Frederick	10	5	1	3	3	29	4	0	55
Frostburg	5	5	0	1	4	7	7	0	29
Grantsville	5	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	12
Hagerstown	3	6	0	0	4	0	11	0	24
Havre de Grace	5	2	6	2	0	29	0	0	44
Highlandtown	12	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	20
Leonardtwn	5	5	2	0	2	10	2	0	26
Oakland	3	3	3	2	0	3	0	0	14
Salisbury	4	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	11
Silver Spring	7	11	7	6	10	88	6	17	152
Snow Hill	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Station North	15	14	0	5	13	15	6	0	68
Stevensville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wheaton	5	11	0	1	2	24	2	26	71
Total	129	110	33	40	66	267	59	63	767

Sources: A&E Districts

Artist Housing

Districts provided information on housing opportunities for artists within their boundaries, presented below.

As seen in the figure below, 10 of Maryland's A&E Districts reported that artist housing (and/or moderate-income housing) was available within their district's boundaries in FY 25. Overall, 35 buildings or complexes contained a total of 819 housing units. Please note that many districts reported that artist/moderate-income housing was available just outside of district boundaries. While these units are not captured in Figure 7, they would likely provide similar benefits to artists as units within districts.

Figure 7: Artist Housing Availability in Maryland's A&E Districts, FY 25

District	Housing?	Buildings/Complexes	Units
Annapolis			
Bel Air			
Berlin			
Bethesda	YES	1	
Black Arts			
Bromo Tower	YES	5	330
Cambridge			
Catonsville			
Chestertown			
Crisfield	YES	1	8
Cumberland			
Denton Artsway			
Easton			
Elkton			
Frederick	YES	1	85
Frostburg			
Grantsville	YES	1	1
Hagerstown	YES	8	88
Havre de Grace			
Highlandtown	YES	1	9
Leonardtwn	YES	11	75
Oakland	YES	1	1
Salisbury			
Silver Spring			
Snow Hill			
Station North	YES	5	222
Stevensville			
Wheaton			
Total	10	35	819

Sources: A&E Districts, ORPGA

Occupancy Rates

Districts reported occupancy rates for several types of spaces. These rates are presented below.

Figure 8: Occupancy Rate by Real Estate Type in Maryland's A&E Districts in FY 25

District	Storefront/Commercial	Residential	Industrial/Manufacturing	Mixed Use
Annapolis	98%	100%	0%	98%
Bel Air	90%	99%	0%	90%
Berlin	100%	100%	0%	100%
Bethesda	90%	85%	0%	80%
Black Arts	55%	65%	0%	60%
Bromo Tower	97%	86%	0%	96%
Cambridge	80%	90%	0%	100%
Catonsville	96%	96%	100%	100%
Chestertown	98%	99%	100%	95%
Crisfield	50%	75%	75%	50%
Cumberland	70%	85%	0%	80%
Denton Artsway	0%	0%	0%	0%
Easton	95%	95%	100%	100%
Elkton	85%	85%	95%	1%
Frederick	90%	97%	97%	83%
Frostburg	85%	80%	0%	85%
Grantsville	20%	62%	1%	5%
Hagerstown	70%	90%	95%	75%
Havre de Grace	85%	90%	80%	80%
Highlandtown	90%	98%	74%	77%
Leonardtown	98%	98%	2%	98%
Oakland	19%	49%	0%	18%
Salisbury	70%	25%	0%	5%
Silver Spring	85%	90%	95%	88%
Snow Hill	77%	95%	0%	100%
Station North	73%	89%	61%	88%
Stevensville	85%	95%	0%	1%
Wheaton	92%	95%	85%	90%

Sources: A&E Districts

Districts reported a wide variety of occupancy rates throughout the state. Of districts that provided non-zero occupancy rates, storefront/commercial space ranged from 19 percent (Oakland) to 100 percent (Berlin) occupied; residential space ranged from 25 percent (Salisbury) to 100 percent (Annapolis and Berlin) occupied; industrial/manufacturing space ranged from 1 percent (Grantsville) to 100 percent (Catonsville, Chestertown, Easton) occupied; mixed use ranged from 1 percent (Elkton and Stevensville) to 100 percent (Berlin, Cambridge, Catonsville, Easton, and Snow Hill) occupied.

Artist Registry

As seen below, 16 of Maryland's A&E Districts reported that they maintained an artist registry in FY 25. Within those districts, 267 artists registered during FY 25. Leonardtown reported the largest number of registrations in FY 25, with 107 artists. Overall, these 16 districts report that 1,799 artists are registered within their districts. Frederick, with 380 artists, reported the largest number of registered artists among districts that maintain a registry.

Across all of Maryland's A&E Districts, there are an estimated 6,675 artists working within district boundaries. Hagerstown reported the highest number of estimated artists (1,200).

Figure 9: A&E District Artist Registry Information, FY 25

District	Registry?	Registered in FY 25?	Total Registered Artists	Estimated Artists
Annapolis	No			100
Bel Air	No			150
Berlin	Yes	11	25	34
Bethesda	No			1,000
Black Arts	Yes	150	500	50
Bromo Tower	Yes	16	44	450
Cambridge	No			20
Catonsville	No			80
Chestertown	No			19
Crisfield	Yes	15	120	120
Cumberland	Yes	*7	*	10
Denton Artsway	No			200
Easton	No			75
Elkton	No			80
Frederick	Yes	40	400	870
Frostburg	Yes	18	65	150
Grantsville	Yes	0	13	22
Hagerstown	No			1,200
Havre de Grace	Yes	2	62	200
Highlandtown	Yes	0	97	125
Leonardtown	Yes	8	169	218
Oakland	Yes	0	4	27
Salisbury	No			15
Silver Spring	Yes	*	100	150
Snow Hill	No			50
Station North	Yes	5	46	1,000
Stevensville	Yes	2	154	160
Wheaton	Yes	*	*	100
Total	16	267	1,799	6,675

Sources: A&E Districts

⁷ *Unknown due to systemic or self-reporting constraints

Incentive Utilization

As seen in Figure 10, businesses within seven different districts reported utilizing Admission and Amusement Tax abatement. With 3 businesses taking advantage of the Admission and Amusement Tax abatement.

Five businesses in A&E Districts submitted applications for property tax credits; one each in Bethesda, Easton, Hagerstown, Salisbury, and Stevensville.

Figure 10: A&E District Tax Incentive Utilization, FY 25

District	Admission and Amusement Tax abatement		Property Tax Credit Applications	
	#	%	#	%
Annapolis	0	0	0	0
Bel Air	0	0%	0	0%
Berlin	1	7%	0	0%
Bethesda	2	14%	1	33%
Black Arts	0	0%	0	0%
Bromo Tower	3	21%	0	0%
Cambridge	0	0%	0	0%
Catonsville	0	0%	1	33%
Chestertown	0	0%	0	0%
Crisfield	0	0%	0	0%
Cumberland	0	0%	0	0%
Denton Artsway	0	0%	0	0%
Easton	0	0%	0	0%
Elkton	0	0%	0	0%
Frederick	0	0%	0	0%
Frostburg	0	0%	0	0%
Grantsville	0	0%	0	0%
Hagerstown	4	29%	1	33%
Havre de Grace	0	0%	0	0%
Highlandtown	0	0%	0	0%
Leonardtwn	1	7%	0	0%
Oakland	0	0%	0	0%
Salisbury	0	0%	0	0%
Silver Spring	2	14%	0	0%
Snow Hill	0	0%	0	0%
Station North	0	0%	0	0%
Stevensville	0	0%	0	0%
Wheaton	1	7%	0	0%
TOTAL	14	100%	3	100%

Sources: A&E Districts

As seen in Figure 11, districts reported that a variety of incentives beyond Admission and Amusement Tax abatement and property tax credits were available in Maryland’s A&E Districts in FY 25.

The most common type of additional incentive was “other tax credits/abatements”, with 20 districts reporting that there were 66 other credits/abatements available. The next-most-common incentive type reported was grants, with 15 districts reporting 38 total grants.

Please note that the same incentives may be available in multiple districts and are counted separately—for example, eleven districts reported that Enterprise Zone incentives were available in their borders, and these were counted as nine “other tax credit/abatement” incentives.

Examples of “other” financial incentives include discounted incubator space, environmental-focused programs, and local general economic development support. Non-financial incentives include marketing support, parking/public transit benefits, and workshops.

Figure 11: Other Incentives Available in Maryland’s A&E Districts, FY 25

District	Other tax					
	Façade	Loan	credit/abatement	Grant	Other	Nonfinancial
Annapolis	2	0	0	0	0	0
Bel Air	2	0	1	1	0	0
Berlin	0	0	0	1	0	2
Bethesda	0	0	0	1	7	1
Black Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bromo Tower	0	0	2	1	0	0
Cambridge	0	0	4	0	0	0
Catonsville	1	1	3	6	0	1
Chestertown	1	2	1	0	2	0
Crisfield	0	0	10	0	0	0
Cumberland	0	1	5	0	0	0
Denton Artsway	0	0	0	0	0	0
Easton	0	0	1	2	0	0
Elkton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Frederick	1	2	10	4	1	0
Frostburg	0	0	4	1	0	0
Grantsville	1	0	2	2	0	0
Hagerstown	1	1	6	8	1	1
Havre de Grace	0	0	0	0	0	0
Highlandtown	0	0	4	0	0	0
Leonardtown	0	0	0	1	0	1
Oakland	0	0	2	3	0	0
Salisbury	0	1	3	0	0	0
Silver Spring	0	3	1	2	7	2
Snow Hill	0	1	1	2	0	0
Station North	1	2	3	0	0	0
Stevensville	0	0	2	0	0	0
Wheaton	0	3	1	3	4	3
Total Number of Incentives	10	17	66	38	22	11
Total Districts with Incentive Type Available	8	10	20	15	6	7

Sources: A&E Districts

Communication Tools

Districts also provided information about the types of communication tools that they use. Tabulations of communication tools are provided below in Figure 12.

All twenty-seven districts surveyed reported using a Facebook page and websites. Other commonly online events calendar (85%), and Instagram (85%). Examples of “other” communication tools include print materials, Threads, YouTube, email newsletters, and TikTok.

Figure 12: Communication Tools Used by A&E Districts, FY 25

District	Facebook	Twitter	Website	Instagram	On-line Events		Other
					Calendar	Artist Registry	
Annapolis	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Bel Air	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Berlin	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bethesda	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Black Arts	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	
Bromo Tower	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Cambridge	Yes		Yes			No	Yes
Catonsville	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Chestertown	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Crisfield	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cumberland	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Denton Artsway	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	
Easton	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Elkton	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Frederick	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Frostburg	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Grantsville	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	
Hagerstown	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Havre de Grace	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Highlandtown	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	
Leonardtwn	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Oakland	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	
Salisbury	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Silver Spring	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Snow Hill	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Station North	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	
Stevensville	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Wheaton	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total	27	5	27	23	23	16	9

Sources: A&E Districts

District Funding

Districts reported information on the types of funding they received in FY 25. A summary of this funding is presented in Figure 13 below. Additional funding details are available in the Appendix.

Figure 13: A&E District Funding by Source/Type, FY 25

District	Funding Source/Type					Total
	Federal	MSAC	Other State	Local	In-Kind	
Annapolis		\$17,454		\$35,720	\$8,100	\$61,274
Bel Air		\$17,454		\$9,048	\$92,665	\$119,166
Berlin	\$140,000	\$17,454	\$75,000	\$10,300	\$30,000	\$272,754
Bethesda		\$17,454				\$17,454
Black Arts	\$250,000	\$18,000	\$233,750	\$678,385	\$2,500	\$1,182,635
Bromo Tower		\$17,454	\$300,000	\$150,000		\$467,454
Cambridge		\$17,454		\$20,376		\$37,830
Catonsville		\$81,001		\$144,987	\$15,300	\$241,288
Chestertown		\$17,454		\$16,000		\$33,454
Crisfield		\$17,454	\$12,500	\$8,605	\$19,800	\$58,359
Cumberland		\$17,454				\$17,454
Denton Artsway		\$212,172				\$212,172
Easton		\$26,000	\$25,000			\$51,000
Elkton		\$35,301	\$50,000	\$38,000	\$50,000	\$173,301
Frederick		\$65,499	\$488,153	\$350,377		\$904,029
Frostburg	\$30,000	\$17,454	\$295,000	\$30,000		\$372,454
Grantsville		\$17,454		\$14,042	\$3,000	\$34,495
Hagerstown		\$26,918		\$4,000	\$125,100	\$156,018
Havre de Grace			\$500,000	\$25,000		\$525,000
Highlandtown		\$35,454	\$1,258,000	\$100,000	\$85,000	\$1,478,454
Leonardtwn		\$17,454	\$437,618	\$190,712	\$15,000	\$660,784
Oakland		\$17,454		\$25,874	\$8,297	\$51,624
Salisbury		\$143,456	\$85,431	\$1,085,067	\$76,515	\$1,390,469
Silver Spring		\$17,600		\$210,000		\$227,600
Snow Hill		\$17,454			\$10,000	\$27,454
Station North	\$90,000	\$217,454	\$3,925,200	\$163,770	\$34,000	\$4,430,424
Stevensville				\$5,000		\$5,000
Wheaton		\$17,600		\$130,000		\$147,600
Total	\$510,000	\$1,140,806	\$7,685,652	\$3,445,262	\$575,277	\$13,356,996

Sources: A&E Districts

Districts reported receiving approximately \$13.3 million in funding and in-kind support in FY 25. At over \$7.7 million, the bulk of funding was from the other state sources other than MSAC; driven by \$3.2 million awarded to Station North from the Department of General Services and the Department of Housing and Community Development.

Funding from MSAC was the most common type of funding received, with all districts but Stevensville and Havre de Grace reporting that they received MSAC funding, this may be a reporting error by those districts.

Examples of in-kind funding include marketing support, event security/police services, venue rentals, and administrative/staffing support.

Challenges and Technical Assistance

Districts provided narratives about the challenges facing their districts as well as the types of technical assistance that they feel would be beneficial. While each district is unique in its needs, several themes emerged regarding both challenges and technical assistance.

Challenges

- Staffing - Several respondents noted how turnover of leadership positions led to organizational challenges. Other districts reported insufficient staffing levels overall, as well as having trouble attracting volunteers.
- Financial - Survey respondents described difficulties securing adequate funding for programming and operations from both public and private sources.
- Real Estate - The districts report that rising building costs and lease rates have made it more difficult to fill vacant properties. Several districts also report issues with property holders being unwilling to renovate or upkeep existing building stock.
- Federal Government – Many respondents identified uncertainty and changes with the federal government as challenging to their efforts. These challenges generally be described as one or more of the following: loss of federal funding/ support, federal layoffs affecting the districts community, and concerns over ICE depressing participation/ attendance of district events.

Requested Technical Assistance

- Regular/ annual meeting(s) of all the districts – Many districts responded positively to the meeting that occurred on 10/16/2025 and believe that similar meetings in the future would be very helpful.
- Training Opportunities – Multiple districts requested training on marketing strategies, with a focus on how to increase engagement among potential volunteers and event attendees. Others requested assistance with technical training to better utilize existing support and incentives such as the Admission and Amusement Tax exemption.
- Central Database of Resources – Survey respondents suggest they would benefit from a database of available incentives, important forms, inventory of properties, templates for common initiatives, and other information pertinent to A&E Districts.
- AI – Several districts mentioned potential use of AI tools to better understand demand in the Arts market/ community as well as potentially achieve greater efficiency with existing staff.

Appendix: Detailed Funding Information

Figure 14: Federal Funding

District	Agency/Funder	Type of Funding	Award/Grant Amount
Berlin	Town of Berlin	Operating Support	\$140,000
Station North	NEA Arts Project Grant	Project Support	\$90,000
Black Arts	Mellon Foundation	Project Support	\$250,000
Frostburg	USDA	Project Support	\$30,000

Sources: A&E Districts

Figure 15: MSAC Funding

District	MSAC Program	Type of Funding	Award/Grant Amount
Bromo Tower	A&E Operating Support	Operating Support	\$17,454
Berlin	MSAC OPERATING GRANT	Operating Support	\$17,454
Bethesda	Arts & Entertainment District Support	Please Select	\$17,454
Oakland	MSAC A & E Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Crisfield	A&E Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Leonardtown	FY2025 MSAC Operating Support Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Salisbury	A&E Operating	Operating Support	\$17,454
	Grants for Operating	Operating Support	\$41,002
	MSSA Sponsorship	Project Support	\$75,000
	Public Art Across Maryland	Project Support	\$10,000
Highlandtown	MSAC – A&E Operating FY25	Operating Support	\$17,454
	MSAC – A&E Operating FY26	Operating Support	\$18,000
Annapolis	A&E District Operations Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Havre de Grace	Arts and Entertainment Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$0
	Grants for Organizations	Operating Support	\$0
Cambridge	Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Bel Air	MSAC	Operating Support	\$17,454
Denton Artsway	MSAC CAD and A&E	Operating Support	\$212,172
Grantsville	MSAC A & E Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Catonsville	Arts and Entertainment- Off Year	Operating Support	\$17,454
	Grants for Organizations	Operating Support	\$33,547
	Public Art Across Maryland - New Artworks Grant	Project Support	\$30,000
Chestertown	A&E Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Station North	FY24 Arts Capital Grant	Other	\$200,000
	FY25 Arts and Entertainment Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Frederick	Arts & Entertainment	Operating Support	\$17,454
	Grants for Organizations	Operating Support	\$48,045
Easton	Annual District Funding	Operating Support	\$16,000
	Public Art Planning grant	Project Support	\$10,000
Cumberland	A & E General Operating Support	Operating Support	\$17,454
Black Arts	A&E	Operating Support	\$18,000
Hagerstown	Arts and Entertainment Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
	Grants for Organizations	Project Support	\$9,464
Elkton	Arts & Entertainment Operating Grant	Operating Support	\$17,847
	Arts & Entertainment Operating Grant - Off Year	Operating Support	\$17,454
Frostburg	Operating Assistance Grant	Operating Support	\$17,454
Silver Spring	MSAC	Operating Support	\$17,600
Wheaton	MSAC	Operating Support	\$17,600

Snow Hill	OAG	Operating Support	\$17,454
-----------	-----	-------------------	----------

Sources: A&E Districts

Figure 16: Other State Funding

District	Agency/Funder	Type of Funding	Award/Grant Amount
Bromo Tower	MD DHCD Project Restore 2.0	Project Support	\$300,000
Berlin	DHCD	Operating Support	\$25,000
	DHCD	Project Support	\$50,000
Crisfield	DHCD Circuit Rider Grant	Operating Support	\$12,500
Leonardtown	FY25 DHCD Comm Legacy for Facade Program	Project Support	\$25,000
	FY25 DHCD Comm Legacy for Wharf Park Parking Area	Project Support	\$250,000
	FY25 DHCD Main Street Funding	Operating Support	\$25,000
	FY25 DHCD MD Facade Improvement Program	Project Support	\$75,000
	FY25 DHCD Tech Asst Grant for Public Art Plan	Project Support	\$18,000
	FY25 MD Critical Areas Grant	Project Support	\$1,000
	FY25 MD State Aid for Police Protection	Project Support	\$43,618
Salisbury	DHCD	Operating Support	\$25,000
	DHCD	Operating Support	\$50,000
	DHCD	Project Support	\$10,000
	MD Humanities	Project Support	\$431
Highlandtown	MD DHCD CORE FY25 – Crown Industrial Park Acquisition	Project Support	\$1,250,000
	MD DHCD Main Street Improvement Grant FY26	Operating Support	\$8,000
	MD DHCD Operating Assistance Grant	Operating Support	\$0
Havre de Grace	Bond Bill	Project Support	\$500,000
Station North	DGS Capital Funding	Other	\$2,000,000
	MD DHCD FY25 BRNI	Other	\$1,175,200
	MD DHCD FY26 BRNI	Other	\$250,000
	MD DHCD FY26 SEED	Other	\$500,000
Frederick	Governor's Office of Crime Prevention & Policy	Operating Support	\$31,053
	Maryland Dept of Housing and Community Development	Project Support	\$300,000
	Maryland Dept of Housing and Community Development	Project Support	\$100,000
	Maryland Dept of Housing and Community Development	Project Support	\$55,000
	Maryland Economic Development Association (MEDA)	Other	\$2,100
Easton	DHCD via Main Street	Operating Support	\$25,000
Black Arts	DHCD	Project Support	\$233,750
Elkton	MD DHCD Facade Improvement Program	Other	\$50,000
Frostburg	Department of Housing and Community Development	Operating Support	\$25,000
	Department of Housing and Community Development	Project Support	\$20,000
	Department of Housing and Community Development	Project Support	\$240,000
	MD Humanities	Operating Support	\$10,000



Sources: A&E Districts

Figure 17: Local Funding

District	Agency/Funder	Type of Funding	Award/Grant Amount
Bromo Tower	Downtown Partnership of Baltimore	Project Support	\$150,000
Berlin	WORCESTER COUNTY ARTS COUNCIL	Project Support	\$5,800
	WORCESTER COUNTY TOURISM	Project Support	\$4,500
Oakland	Sponsorships, Ticket Sales	Project Support	\$19,874
	Town of Oakland	Operating Support	\$5,000
	Town of Oakland	Project Support	\$1,000
Crisfield	American Legion Post #16	Project Support	\$1,200
	American Legion Post #16	Project Support	\$1,500
	Community Foundation	Project Support	\$2,500
	Giving Tuesday - Community Foundation	Other	\$3,405
Leonardtwn	FY25 Commissioners of Leonardtown	Operating Support	\$185,712
	FY25 St. Mary's County Arts Council Dev Grant	Project Support	\$5,000
Salisbury	City of Salisbury	Project Support	\$494,750
	City of Salisbury	Operating Support	\$590,317
Highlandtown	Baltimore City A&E operating support FY25	Operating Support	\$50,000
	Baltimore City A&E operating support FY26	Operating Support	\$50,000
Annapolis	ACAAC (Anne Arundel Co)	Operating Support	\$2,500
	ACAAC (Anne Arundel Co)	Project Support	\$1,220
	ACAAC (Anne Arundel Co)	Other	\$0
	City of Annapolis	Operating Support	\$30,000
	Rotary Club	Project Support	\$2,000
Havre de Grace	City of Havre de Grace	Operating Support	\$25,000
Cambridge	City of Cambridge	Project Support	\$20,376
Bel Air	Town of Bel Air Cultural Arts Commission	Operating Support	\$5,727
	Town of Bel Air Cultural Arts Commission	Project Support	\$2,560
	Town of Bel Air Economic Development Marketing	Operating Support	\$453
	Town of Bel Air Economic Development Web Hosting & Services	Operating Support	\$307
Grantsville	Mountain Maryland Gateway to the West Heritage Area	Project Support	\$4,364
	Sponsorships, Sales	Project Support	\$5,178
	Town of Grantsville	Operating Support	\$3,000
	Town of Grantsville	Project Support	\$1,500
Stevensville	Queen Anne's County Outside Agency Grant	Project Support	\$5,000
Catonsville	Baltimore County Department of Economic Workforce Development	Operating Support	\$80,000
	Baltimore County Department of Economic Workforce Development	Operating Support	\$50,000
	Baltimore County Department of Planning	Project Support	\$9,987
	Baltimore County Department of Planning	Operating Support	\$5,000

Chestertown	Town of Chestertown	Operating Support	\$16,000
Station North	Baltimore City	Operating Support	\$50,000
	Baltimore Community Foundation	Project Support	\$20,000
	Baltimore Office of Promotion & the Arts	Operating Support	\$0
	Deutsch Foundation	Project Support	\$15,000
	FY25 Johns Hopkins University	Operating Support	\$30,000
	Goldseker Foundation	Operating Support	\$25,000
	Healthy Neighborhoods	Project Support	\$4,000
	Individual Giving	Project Support	\$1,770
	PNC Bank	Project Support	\$15,000
	University of Baltimore	Project Support	\$3,000
Frederick	Aushman Family Foundation	Other	\$40,000
	Aushman Family Foundation	Project Support	\$1,000
	City of Frederick	Operating Support	\$106,377
	Delaplaine Foundation	Operating Support	\$25,000
	Frederick County Office of Economic Development	Operating Support	\$5,000
	Frederick Health	Project Support	\$1,000
	Joesph D Baker Fund	Operating Support	\$21,000
	Rotary Club of Carroll Creek	Project Support	\$74,000
	Visit Frederick	Operating Support	\$40,000
	Visit Frederick	Operating Support	\$25,000
	William E Cross Foundation	Operating Support	\$10,000
	William E Cross Foundation	Project Support	\$2,000
Black Arts	Annie E Casey	Project Support	\$50,000
	ARPA	Project Support	\$333,000
	BCYF	Project Support	\$41,598
	BGE	Project Support	\$10,000
	Blaustein	Project Support	\$25,000
	Goldsekker	Operating Support	\$20,000
	Knott Foundation	Operating Support	\$25,000
	Planning Dept	Operating Support	\$50,000
	T Rowe Price	Project Support	\$72,500
	United Way	Project Support	\$43,787
	WNADA	Project Support	\$7,500
Hagerstown	Washington County Arts Council	Project Support	\$4,000
Elkton	Cecil County VLT Local Community Impact Grant Program	Other	\$13,000
	Town of Elkton	Operating Support	\$25,000
Frostburg	City of Frostburg	Operating Support	\$30,000
Silver Spring	Montgomery County	Operating Support	\$210,000
Wheaton	Montgomery County	Operating Support	\$130,000



Sources: A&E Districts

Figure 18: In-Kind Support

District	Agency/Provider	Type of Support	Estimated Value
Bromo Tower	Downtown Partnership of Baltimore	Salary, office space, organization support	\$0
Berlin	TOWN OF BERLIN	Events and Staffing	\$30,000
Oakland	Garrett County Arts Council	General Operating/Salary	\$6,797
	Town of Oakland	Maintenance, Set-up	\$1,500
Crisfield	City of Crisfield	Use of Corbin Studio & Gallery, 4 E. Main St. Crisfield, MD	\$12,000
	City of Crisfield	Utilities at Corbin Studio & Gallery	\$6,000
	City of Crisfield	Crisfield A&E District Insurance	\$1,800
Leonardtown	Leonardtown Business Association	The LBA provides funding and volunteers for many of our District events throughout the year.	\$15,000
Salisbury	Draper Public Media	In-kind advertising for MDFF	\$3,000
	Salisbury Independent & Delaware State News	In-kind advertising for MDFF	\$10,000
	Volunteers	1084 volunteers hours for A&E events	\$38,515
	WMDT	In-kind advertising for MDFF	\$20,000
	Xfinity	In-kind network support for MDFF	\$5,000
Highlandtown	Creative Alliance	Marketing and community events (staff time)	\$50,000
	Southeast CDC	Program overhead costs	\$35,000
Annapolis	49 West Street	Meeting Space	\$200
	And Creative ...	Supplied Space for AAD Economic Development Committee Meetings	\$4,500
	Maryland Hall	Supplied Space for EVI Interviews	\$3,000
	Ramshead Restaurant and Tavern	Supplied Board meeting space	\$400
Bel Air	Town of Bel Air	Staff Salary	\$21,233
	Town of Bel Air	Office Spaces & Support	\$1,200
	Town of Bel Air	Police & DPW Staff for Events	\$70,232
Grantsville	Town of Grantsville	Maintenance, Set-Up	\$3,000
Catonsville	Volunteer	510 hours of Project/Administrative/Committee Support	\$15,300
Station North	Globe Press at MICA	Pro bono graphic design and printing	\$4,000
	Neighborhood Design Center	Arts Space Technical Assistance program	\$10,000
	Tom Totten	Pro bono legal services	\$20,000
Black Arts	Center Stage	Space	\$2,500
Hagerstown	City of Hagerstown	Operating Support	\$32,600
	City of Hagerstown	Project Support	\$92,500
Elkton	Town of Elkton	Office Space, Police and Public Works Assistance (events)	\$50,000
Snow Hill	Town of Snow Hill	Public Works, office, admin.	\$10,000

Sources: A&E Districts