

FY23 Public Art Across Maryland Conservation Grant Rubrics

Public Art Across Maryland Conservation Planning Grant Application Scoring Rubric

1. Description of the artwork and why it is prioritized for conservation, and its importance to the collection and/or community, including historical and/or cultural relevance. Refer to Question 1 and Attachment B & C

Clear, specific, and thorough explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Clear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	An overview of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Unclear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	No Evidence
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points

2. Description of public art conservation planning goals and community partners or stakeholders to be involved. Refer to Question 2

Clear, specific and thorough description of the public art conservation planning need and goals	Clear explanation of the public art conservation planning need and goals	An overview of the public art conservation planning need and goals	Unclear explanation of the public art conservation planning need and goals	No Evidence
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points

3. Description of the conservation planning process and timeline. Refer to Question 3

Clear, specific, and thorough description of the conservation planning process and timeline	Clear explanation of the conservation planning process and timeline	An overview of the conservation planning process and timeline	Unclear explanation of the conservation planning process and timeline	No Evidence
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points

4. Conservation planning grant budget (Refer to Attachment A)					
	Detailed budget information indicates realistic expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Planning Grant.	Budget information indicates realistic expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Planning Grant.	Budget information indicates expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Planning Grant.	Budget information indicates unclear expenses for implementation of the Conservation Planning Grant.	No Evidence
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points

Continued on next page

Public Art Across Maryland Conservation *Implementation Grant Application*
Scoring Rubric

--	--	--	--	--	--

1. Describe the artwork prioritized for conservation, its importance to the collection and/or community (Refer to Question 1 and Attachments A & E)

	Clear, specific, and thorough explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Clear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	An overview of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Unclear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	No Evidence
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points

2. Description of the proposed goals, activities, and outcomes of the conservation work to be performed and the timeline of how the work will be staged and implemented (Refer to Question 2 and Attachments B and C)

	Clear, specific, and thorough description of the conservation work to be performed in alignment with a detailed timeline describing the stages	Clear explanation of the conservation work to be performed in alignment with the timeline	An overview of the conservation work and timeline	Unclear explanation of the conservation work and timeline	No Evidence
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points

3. Demonstrated education and experience of Conservation Team based on provided team roster (Refer to Question 3)					
	Clear, specific, and thorough description of the conservation team and their education and experience to complete the project	Clear explanation of the conservation conservation team and their education and experience to complete the project	An overview of the conservation conservation team and their education and experience to complete the project	Unclear explanation of the conservation conservation team and their education and experience to complete the project	No Evidence
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points
4. Conservation Implementation Grant Budget (Refer to Attachment D)					
	Detailed budget information indicates realistic expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Project	Budget information indicates realistic expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Project	Budget information indicates expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Project	Budget information indicates unclear expenses for implementation of the Conservation Project	No Evidence
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points