FY23 Public Art Across Maryland Conservation Grant Rubrics

Public Art Across Maryland Conservation Planning Grant Application Scoring Rubric

 Description of the artwork and why it is prioritized for conservation, and its importance to the collection and/or community, including historical and/or cultural relevance. Refer to Question 1 and Attachment B & C 								
	Clear, specific, and thorough explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Clear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	An overview of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Unclear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	No Evidence			
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence			
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points			
2. Description of public art conservation planning goals and community partners or stakeholders to be involved. Refer to Question 2								
	Clear, specific and thorough description	Clear explanation of	An overview of the	Unclear explanation				
	of the public art conservation planning need and goals	the public art conservation planning need and goals	public art conservation planning need and goals	of the public art conservation planning need and goals	No Evidence			
	of the public art conservation planning need and	conservation planning need and	public art conservation planning need and	of the public art conservation planning need and	No Evidence No Evidence			
	of the public art conservation planning need and goals Excellent to	conservation planning need and goals Good to Very	public art conservation planning need and goals	of the public art conservation planning need and goals				
3.	of the public art conservation planning need and goals Excellent to Outstanding 20 Points	conservation planning need and goals Good to Very Good 16 Points	public art conservation planning need and goals Satisfactory 12 Points	of the public art conservation planning need and goals Marginal to Fair	No Evidence 0 Points			
3.	of the public art conservation planning need and goals Excellent to Outstanding 20 Points Description of the Clear, specific, and thorough description of the conservation	conservation planning need and goals Good to Very Good 16 Points conservation plan Clear explanation of the conservation	public art conservation planning need and goals Satisfactory 12 Points	of the public art conservation planning need and goals Marginal to Fair 7 Points timeline. Refer to Conservation of the conservation	No Evidence 0 Points			
3.	of the public art conservation planning need and goals Excellent to Outstanding 20 Points Description of the Clear, specific, and thorough description of the conservation planning process and	conservation planning need and goals Good to Very Good 16 Points conservation plan Clear explanation of the conservation planning process and	public art conservation planning need and goals Satisfactory 12 Points nning process and An overview of the conservation planning process and	of the public art conservation planning need and goals Marginal to Fair 7 Points timeline. Refer to Conservation of the conservation planning process and	No Evidence 0 Points Question 3			

4. Conservation planning grant budget (Refer to Attachment A)								
	Detailed budget information indicates realistic expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Planning Grant.		Budget information indicates expenses for the implementation of the Conservation Planning Grant.	Budget information indicates unclear expenses for implementation of the Conservation Planning Grant.	No Evidence			
	Excellent to Outstanding 20 Points	Good to Very Good 16 Points	Satisfactory 12 Points	Marginal to Fair 7 Points	No Evidence 0 Points			

Continued on next page

Pu	Public Art Across Maryland Conservation Implementation Grant Application Scoring Rubric							
1. De	Describe the artwork prioritized for conservation, its importance to the collection and/or community (Refer to Question 1 and Attachments A & E)							
	Clear, specific, and thorough explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Clear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	An overview of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	Unclear explanation of the artwork prioritized for conservation and its importance to the collection and community including historical and/or cultural relevance.	No Evidence			
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence			
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points			
2. Des	Description of the proposed goals, activities, and outcomes of the conservation work to be performed and the timeline of how the work will be staged and implemented (Refer to Question 2 and Attachments B and C)							
	Clear, specific, and thorough description of the conservation work to be performed in alignment with a detailed timeline describing the stages	the conservation work to be performed in alignment with the timeline	An overview of the conservation work and timeline	Unclear explanation of the conservation work and timeline	No Evidence			
	Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	No Evidence			
	20 Points	16 Points	12 Points	7 Points	0 Points			

3. Demonstrated education and experience of Conservation Team based on provided team roster (Refer to Question 3) Clear, specific, and Clear explanation of An overview of the Unclear explanation thorough description the conservation conservation of the conservation of the conservation conservation team conservation team conservation team No Evidence team and their and their education and their education and their education education and and experience to and experience to and experience to experience to complete the project complete the project complete the project complete the project **Excellent to Good to Very Outstanding** Good **Marginal to Fair No Evidence** Satisfactory **20 Points 16 Points** 12 Points **0** Points 7 Points 4. Conservation Implementation Grant Budget (Refer to Attachment D) Detailed budget information **Budget information** Budget information **Budget information** indicates realistic indicates realistic indicates expenses indicates unclear expenses for the expenses for the for the expenses for No Evidence implementation of implementation of implementation of implementation of the Conservation the Conservation the Conservation the Conservation Project Project **Project Project Excellent to Good to Very Outstanding** Good **Marginal to Fair** No Evidence Satisfactory 20 Points **16 Points** 12 Points 7 Points **0** Points